· NLK-90-27
By SKF NARA, Dette 12/31/90

Mr. O W EL 4

CECTE?

SUBMISSION OF BERLIN TO UN ON GROUND OF VIOLATION OF BERLIN RIGHTS

Problem:

The Interdepartmental Coordinating Group on Germany and Berlin (ICG) suggested that IO check into the advisability of submitting the Berlin issue to the UH at this time in terms of violation of human rights. The aim would be to gain propagands advantages from UN airing of repression on the Seviet some berder.

Conslusion:

It is not desirable to bring the Berlin issue to the UN at this time and in the context of violation of human rights because (a) the berder electing does not constitute a violation flagrant enough to mobilize world opinion behind our cause and (b) this course would open the Berlin problem to UN debute and seize the UN of the Berlin issue at an inappropriate time. Discussion:

The berder closing is a legal infringement of the four-power agreement of 1949, but for outside of vectors purepe would construe this action as a violation of "human rights" as normally interpreted (generide and corpose). The procedures of fibet and Angela would be technically applicable to Berlin, but it is not clear that the balance of adventage like in reising the issue of Berlin at this time and in this context. So far there is no firm evidence of bloodshed and repressive actions beyond the berder classing. The situation would, of course, be dramatically changed if this happened. Then it might become tectically desirable to bring the issue before the UK.

Even if we attempted to sedae the UK of the current Berlin situation as a human rights question, the depate would inevitably most-ball into bread consideration

Buch

prepared to expand our case from one based on human rights violation to one based on a threat to peace. This is particularly tree if we take the matter to the SC which is the preferable form in view of its composition and size. Thus, the desirion of whether and when to bring Berlin to the UN ought to be taken on breader grounds, since it is inevitable that whatever the initial ground for seizing the UN of this issue it will be debated as the Question of Berlin."

Would it be to the advantage of the US to seize the UN of the Berlin question at this time and in this context?

The adventages would be:

- a. The issue would be initially focused on repressive Seviet blas entions and on a clear violation of the 1947 Sour-power agreement on free neverent.
- b. The issue would be joined on our initiative and our terms rather than on the initiative and terms of the neutrals (Belgrade) or impatient friends (Argentina). If we conclude that the UN will inevitably become involved, we should consider whether this is not the best occasion.
- c. Timing is all-important and we would be taking adventage of the more favorable atmosphere created by the adverse reaction to the Soviet closing of the border to present our case to the world.

These advantages, however, appear to be more than effect by the fellowing disadvantages;

a. What we prefer to treat as a quadripartite issue, not involving middle or neutralist powers, will be transformed into a world issue

in which there will be pressures for peace at any price and for soccassodation along lines of a formula not to our advantage.

- be Appealing to the UN on the border closing would constitute a major diplomatic response to a peripheral aspect of basic Allied rights in Berlin.
- o. It would be contrary to our present plan to seek an accommodation with the USSE through negotiation before injecting the UN inte the problem.
- d. Behating the Berlin issue on grounds of human rights would inevitably open the Pandern's box of colonialism, once again put the US and NATO on the spot on colonialist issues, especially in view of the French position on Biserte, and tend to sharpon differences we prefer to leave subiguous.
- o. It is not elear that the Afro-Asians will regard the actionsof the GER in closing the border and the Soviet posture as constituting flagrant violations of human rights. They are not likely to get emited about the denial of freedom of exit and access, in view of parallels in other closed societies.
- f. There is no evidence that bringing the Berlin issue to the WM as a question of human rights and self-determination would increase public pressure on the Seviete. It night drawtine Communist apprecian but the effect on the Afro-Asians should not be everestimated. Next of them are convinced that the West gets empired about human rights only where whites are the victims.

Torque de la company de la com

If we wanted to discuse the Berlin problem escentially on the grounds of a violation of human rights, the SC would not be a proper form. Moreover, if we tried to build a case primarily on the human rights approach, we are not likely to get an addinate or satisfactory response in terms of building support among neutrals for our position on Berlin. The SC could be used primarily as a propaganda forms.

However, if as would be necessary, we argue our case on the basis of a threat to peace, failure to seek or obtain Security Council action on our complaint (without a follow-through in an emergency General Assembly) would reveal that we were using the Council only for propaganda purposes. This course might also be construed as evidence that the UN is unable to contribute significantly to the solution of the Berlin problem and impair effectiveness of recourse to the UN at a later date.

We should, therefore, reserve the UN for a strategically nere appropriate econsion when the more crusial aspects of the Berlin problem are engaged. The timing of UN cotion, perhaps in relation to our furtheening appeal for negotiation, should be determined by (a) the totality of our strategy on Berlin and (b) our tactions ability to control underirable initiatives by others.

Boomsods Money

(1) Apart from the possibility that the situation may be transformed by dramatic represents action (as noted above) it might be advisable to amounce our willingness to have the legal issue involved in implementation of the 19k9 agreement discussed by the ICJ. As a prochical matter this could only be

done by having the SC or GA vote a resolution requesting on advisory epinion.

- (2) We should request the UN to exculate to members the US-British-French notes to the Soviet commandant and to Messon protesting the Best German border closing. This would give further publicity to our case and constitute recognition of the interest of other countries in the Berlin situation without engaging the UN formally in the matter, should
- (3) We/request allies and friends to include appropriate references to the Berlin problem in their general remarks at the opening of the 16th GA.

Clearances: L/EUR - Mr. Kearney
Mr. Schnebbel

RUR - Mr. Kehler Mr. Branden IO - Mr. Wallnes

IO:UNP:NAPoleovite:ale 8/17/61